

**IMPLEMENTATION REPORT FOR THE EUROPEAN
TERRITORIAL COOPERATION GOAL
PART A**

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL/FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

CCI	2014TC16M4TN001
Title	INTERREG V – B MEDITERRANEAN (MED) COOPERATION PROGRAMME 2014-2020
Version	2017.0
Date of approval of the report by the monitoring committee	23-May-2018

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL/FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT	1
2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(A) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	4
KEY INFORMATION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME FOR THE YEAR CONCERNED, INCLUDING ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS, WITH RELATION TO THE FINANCIAL AND INDICATOR DATA.	4
3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS	8
3.1 OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION	8
3.2 COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC INDICATORS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	11
PRIORITY AXES OTHER THAN TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	11
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 1.1B	11
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 1.1B.1.1	13
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.4C	14
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.4C.2.1	16
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.4E	17
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.4E.2.2	19
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.4E.2.3	20
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 3.6C	21
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 3.6C.3.1	22
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 3.6D	23
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 3.6D.3.2	24
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 4.11C	25
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 4.11C.4.1	26
PRIORITY AXES FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	27
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 5. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	27
3.3 TABLE 3: INFORMATION ON THE MILESTONES AND TARGETS DEFINED IN THE PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK	29
3.4. FINANCIAL DATA	31
TABLE 4: FINANCIAL INFORMATION AT PRIORITY AXIS AND PROGRAMME LEVEL	31
WHERE APPLICABLE, THE USE OF ANY CONTRIBUTION FROM THIRD COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME SHOULD BE PROVIDED (FOR EXAMPLE IPA AND ENI, NORWAY, SWITZERLAND)	32
TABLE 5: BREAKDOWN OF THE CUMULATIVE FINANCIAL DATA BY CATEGORY OF INTERVENTION	34
TABLE 6: CUMULATIVE COST OF ALL OR PART OF AN OPERATION IMPLEMENTED OUTSIDE THE UNION PART OF THE PROGRAMME AREA	37
(1) ERDF SUPPORT IS THE COMMISSION DECISION ON THE RESPECTIVE COOPERATION PROGRAMME.	38
4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS	39
5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN.....	40
(A) ISSUES WHICH AFFECT THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND THE MEASURES TAKEN	40
(B) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, OTHERWISE IT WILL BE INCLUDED IN POINT 9.1. AN ASSESSMENT OF WHETHER PROGRESS MADE TOWARDS TARGETS IS SUFFICIENT TO ENSURE THEIR FULFILMENT, INDICATING ANY REMEDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN OR PLANNED, WHERE APPROPRIATE.	42
6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	44
7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	45
8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	46
8.1. MAJOR PROJECTS	46
TABLE 7: MAJOR PROJECTS	46
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN IMPLEMENTING MAJOR PROJECTS AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM	46
ANY CHANGE PLANNED IN THE LIST OF MAJOR PROJECTS IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME	46
8.2. JOINT ACTION PLANS	47
TABLE 8: JOINT ACTION PLANS (JAP)	48
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM	49

9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....	50
9.1 INFORMATION IN PART A AND ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	50
9.2. SPECIFIC ACTIONS TAKEN TO PROMOTE EQUALITY BETWEEN MEN AND WOMEN AND TO PROMOTE NON-DISCRIMINATION, IN PARTICULAR ACCESSIBILITY FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES, AND THE ARRANGEMENTS IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE INTEGRATION OF THE GENDER PERSPECTIVE IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME AND OPERATIONS (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (D) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	51
9.3. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (E) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	52
9.4. REPORTING ON SUPPORT USED FOR CLIMATE CHANGE OBJECTIVES (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	53
9.5 ROLE OF PARTNERS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1, (C) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	54
10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013.....	55
10.1 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EVALUATION PLAN AND THE FOLLOW-UP GIVEN TO THE FINDINGS OF EVALUATIONS	55
10.2 THE RESULTS OF THE INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY MEASURES OF THE FUNDS CARRIED OUT UNDER THE COMMUNICATION STRATEGY	56
11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	57
11.1. PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTEGRATED APPROACH TO TERRITORIAL DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING INTEGRATED TERRITORIAL INVESTMENTS, SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND COMMUNITY LED LOCAL DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME	57
11.2 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS TO REINFORCE THE CAPACITY OF AUTHORITIES AND BENEFICIARIES TO ADMINISTER AND TO USE THE ERDF	58
11.3 CONTRIBUTION TO MACRO-REGIONAL AND SEA BASIN STRATEGIES (WHERE APPROPRIATE)	59
11.4 PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS IN THE FIELD OF SOCIAL INNOVATION	62
13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH	63
14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013).....	64
DOCUMENTS	65
LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS	66

2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(A) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.

Apart from thematic concentration in three main themes: innovation, low carbon economy, and environment, the programme proposes a new approach for the types of projects. “Modular” projects concentrate on one type of action: studying, testing, or capitalising and “Horizontal” projects follow a thematically oriented group of Modular projects to summarize joint results and create synergies. This mechanism brings out the results of the projects and programme in a structured way that facilitates their use in policy-making. The results of modular projects feed also the top-down coordinated activity within the governance priority of the programme.

The programming 2016 figures, concerning thematic axis 1, 2, 3, did not increase in 2017. Despite a new modular call having been launched by the end of January 2017, the programme did not select any new operations during the year. In fact, the selection process that was on-going during 2017 was finalised at the beginning of 2018 (January) by the approval of 21 new Modular projects (single-modular plus integrated).

In the fact, the Programme launched two separate and parallel calls:

- a) The first one requiring a full application, handling single-modular submissions (testing and transferring or only capitalising) and focusing on innovation and environmental specific objectives;
- b) The second call, dedicated to Integrated project applications, was based on a two-steps submission process and concerned all 3 thematic bottom-up axes (innovation, low carbon and environment).

The single step call (single-modular projects) closed the 14th April with 94 applications submitted.

The same day, the two-step call (Integrated modular projects) closed its phase of pre application and 50 applications were submitted.

It is important to keep in mind this double approach in order to stress that both calls had different objectives and procedures and, thus, needed a stronger effort from all Programme actors than the first call and much more time consuming.

As foreseen in the framework of the selection procedure, the JS, in cooperation with the concerned participating states, performed a verification of all partner included in proposals and state aid analysis.

More generally, regarding administrative processes, some procedures were still open at the end of 2016.

Although the drafting of the Description of management and control system started in 2015 right after the validation of the CP, the designation process of the MA and CA was concluded in October 2017. The communication was signed by the French Prime Minister on October 18th, and sent to the EC through SFC on October 25th.

After that, an agreement between the MA and the CA was signed and the CA submitted the first ERDF payment claim to the EC. This claim included only expenditure of the MA (Technical Assistance).

The financing agreements to be signed by the European Commission, each participating IPA country and the MA were signed by all counterparts during the year.

Since 2016, the MA has developed the web portal for the programme. This tool hosts the websites of all programmed projects and the programme website, making capitalisation and data production efforts more efficient. The projects started to launch their websites in the spring of 2017 but some other important features like the possibility to stock deliverables on the platform were delivered only in the Autumn or scheduled for the beginning of 2018 (quality checks and filters as well as geopositioning for instance). By the end of the year, 55 out of 70 (including Panoramed) websites were on line.

The operational programme evaluation public procurement was published during the Spring and the kick-off meeting with the selected experts was held in July. The inception report was submitted in December to the MA.

A separate impact evaluation will be prepared for 2019.

Furthermore, in order to accelerate and support as much as possible the concrete implementation of each on-going project and thematic community, some of the main tasks and priorities of the Interreg MED Programme from January until June were the following:

- Clean-up of the application forms (including sometimes partnership and/or budget modifications)
- Signature of the subsidy contracts between the MA and the LP of each approved project signatures
- Assistance and follow up to the kick off and steering committees
- Submission and treatment of IPA advance payment requests

- Validation of the preparation costs (for those who have foreseen for them in the approved application form).

By the beginning of October, 67 on-going projects out of 69 (excluding Panoramed) submitted the first payment claim and the first progress report, including expenditure and activities implemented from the approval of the projects until June 30th, 2017. The MA/JS started then the administrative verifications, as foreseen in article 125 (5) of CPR and the MCSD.

Moreover, in June, the Interreg MED MC approved the Panoramed project (the platform project for the “Governance” Axis 4) involving 12 out of 13 Interreg MED participating States (only UK-Gibraltar is not participating) and approximately 30% of the axis budget programmed. The Panoramed kick-off event (whose LP is the Spanish Ministry of Finance) was held in September in Seville.

On the other hand, all the TA individual projects for transnational and national activities (Axis 5) were approved by the end of the year (except for Gibraltar, UK), with almost 100% of the programmed budget of Axis 5.

During 2017, the Programme organised also:

- The annual event in Alicante under the Spanish Presidency and gathering approximately 200 representatives of all modular and horizontal projects;
- Some training meetings addressed to the project communication officers working with the webplatform;
- 1 LP seminar;
- 1 Group of Auditors (GOA) meeting;
- 1 FLC (First Level Control) network meeting;
- 2 on the spot project visits;
- 2 Monitoring Committees (MC) and 1 Steering Committee (SC);
- 1 transnational Inter-programmes meeting (gathering all TN plus ENI JS programmes overlapping the MED area).

Moreover, JS supported many national events concerning the launching of the 2nd call.

Finally, the Interreg MED Programme MA completed the signatures of two partnership agreements with the Brussels capital Region and the Flanders Region (Belgium) respectively, allowing the capacity to the partners based in both regions to participate and certify expenditures in the framework of MED projects. In the meantime, the JS/MA started the process for the signature of a partnership agreement with Austria, to be signed in early 2018.

Finally, the public procurement for the externalisation of the Second Level Control outsourcing was launched at the end of the year.

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS

3.1 Overview of the implementation

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
1	Promoting Mediterranean innovation capacities to develop smart and sustainable growth	<p>In 2017, 16 Modular projects and 3 Horizontal projects started their first year of implementation in Axis 1 with start-up activities (meetings, methodologies, objectives ...) in a transnational approach. They submitted their first payment claim and progress report and started the implementation of the first activities planned, depending on the type of project: studying activities or preparatory activities for pilot actions.</p> <p>The 3 Horizontal Projects of this Axis, representing “Green Growth”, “Blue Growth” and “Social Innovation and Creative Industries”, started their community building activities with their respective modular projects and the first possibilities for synergies were identified.</p> <p>The second call for modular projects launched in 2017 was targeted on some specific topics and types of projects, to cover the gaps of the first call:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Focus on M2 Testing and M3 Capitalisation projects for single modules + Integrated projects - A specific focus was given to blue growth <p>From 66 submitted proposals for single module projects, 11 were proposed for selection. A very high response rate was received for green growth and social innovation and creative industries, but a lack of response regarding blue growth was still identified.</p> <p>From 17 submitted proposals for integrated modular projects, 4 were proposed for selection, covering all sub-themes of this axis: blue growth, green growth, social innovation and creative industries.</p> <p>The lack of response in blue growth topic will be discussed in next Monitoring Committee in order to discuss potential corrective measures.</p>
2	Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and rural areas	<p>In 2017, 22 modular projects and 3 horizontal projects selected in late 2016 started their first year of implementation in Axis 2. Projects started thus in the first months with start-up activities (meetings, methodologies, objectives ...) in a transnational approach. They submitted their first payment claim and progress report and started the implementation of the first activities planned, depending on the type of project: studying activities or preparatory activities for pilot actions.</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>The 3 Horizontal Projects of this Axis, representing the Thematic Communities “Efficient buildings”, “Renewable Energies” and “Urban Transport” started their community building activities with modular projects, and the first possibilities for synergies were identified. Furthermore, events and activities for enhancing, since the first months of the project, capitalisation potential were implemented. For instance, the Urban Transport Thematic Community met during the Civitas Forum. This opportunity was much than welcomed by all concerned modular projects.</p> <p>Since the majority of funds available for this Axis has been programmed within the first call for proposals, solely the possibility for Integrated projects under Specific Objective 2.3 Urban Transport was opened for the 2nd Modular call launched in 2017.</p> <p>From 10 integrated projects proposals submitted, 4 passed the pre-application phase and one integrated project was proposed for selection to the Steering Committee of January 2018.</p>
3	Protecting and promoting Mediterranean natural and cultural resources	<p>In 2017, 23 modular projects and 2 horizontal projects started their first year of implementation in Axis 3 with start-up activities (meetings, methodologies, objectives ...) in a transnational approach. They submitted their first payment claim and progress report, and started the implementation of the first activities planned in their application form, depending on the type of project: studying activities or preparatory activities for pilot actions. The 2 Horizontal Projects of this Axis, representing the Thematic Communities "Sustainable tourism" and "Biodiversity Protection" started their activities with modular projects and the first possibilities for synergies were identified. For instance, webinars were performed on specific topics regarding biodiversity protection. Several projects, from both communities are working together in synergy. For this Axis, the 2nd call for modular projects was limited to M3 Capitalising projects, with a possibility of few M2 Testing projects only for S.O.3.2. Biodiversity Protection. Only 2 M3 projects were proposed for selection to the Steering Committee. This low success rate is explained by the fact that we observed a lack of strategy in the proposals regarding capitalisation projects; projects were more responding with transferring objectives and activities than integration of results into policies. This issue will be tackled in the discussion for future calls as well. From 23 submitted proposals for integrated modular projects, 3 were proposed for selection, two complementary proposals for sustainable tourism and one for biodiversity protection.</p>
4	Enhancing Mediterranean Governance	As foreseen by the Axis 4 of the INTERREG MED 2014 - 2020 cooperation programme, starting from

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>late 2014 the programme participating States have engaged in an innovative and challenging multilevel top down process.</p> <p>Responding to the objective to contribute to the improvement of coordination of policies and planning of public funds in the Mediterranean Region in order to seize opportunities for sustainable development and growth, they have jointly identified a strategic approach and concrete mechanisms, resulting in a Med governance platform project, PANORAMED.</p> <p>PANORAMED project was unanimously approved by the programme Monitoring Committee in June 2017 and it is currently in its implementation phase, thanks to 13 ministerial structures and 6 regional authorities that compose a unique multilevel partnership scheme at Mediterranean level. This scheme is complemented by the key actors and ETC transnational programmes active in the Mediterranean that act as associated partners. Besides the initiative can count on the formally confirmed accompany role of DG REGIO - that has defined it a flag ship initiative - DG MARE and DG NEAR.</p> <p>PANORAMED project partners have officially started project activities in July 2017 and launched it during a high-level kick-off event in Seville on 26th September 2017, while working together since November 2016. Since then, 2 project Steering Group meetings took place, the 1st year detailed work plan has been submitted , the definition of project methodologies is advancing as planned, the 1st meeting between PANORAMED and the LPs of the Horizontal projects took place to initially share information and approaches on methodologies to collect projects' deliverables and results.</p>
5	Technical Assistance	<p>In 2017, the Monitoring Committee selected 14 Technical Assistance projects. 99.8% of the financial model has already been programmed.</p> <p>One project concerns the implementation of the TA activities at Programme level and 13 projects finance activities implement by Partner States at national level. As a result, all the activities described in the Annual report are covered by these projects.</p> <p>At the end of the year, 3 projects submitted their first payment claim and progress report. At this stage, the situation is not problematic but an overall increase of consumption rate is necessary in 2018.</p>

3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axes other than technical assistance

Priority axis	1 - Promoting Mediterranean innovation capacities to develop smart and sustainable growth
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 1.1b

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	1.1.a	Number of operational instruments to favour innovation of SMEs	Instruments	44.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017. The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects. However, we can already anticipate some figures coming from the 2nd reporting period (ending in Dec. 2017). 6 instruments have been declared as realised value contributing to this output indicator. This figure has to be verified and validated by the JS in the first semester of 2018 (deadline for submission of payment claim and progress report being the 03/04/2018).
S	1.1.a	Number of operational instruments to favour innovation of SMEs	Instruments	44.00	92.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the projects proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 59 instruments.
F	1.1.b	Number of enterprises receiving grants	entreprises	35.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017. The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	1.1.b	Number of enterprises receiving grants	entreprises	35.00	15.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the projects proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 7 enterprises.
F	1.1.c	Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	entreprises	4,000.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017. The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects. However, we can already anticipate some figures coming from the 2nd reporting period (ending in Dec. 2017). 330 enterprises receiving non financial support have been declared as realised value contributing to this output indicator. This figure has to be verified and validated by the JS in the first semester of 2018 (deadline for submission of payment claim and progress report being the 03/04/2018).
S	1.1.c	Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	entreprises	4,000.00	1,232.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the projects proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 1145 .
F	1.1.d	Number of transnational innovation clusters supported	clusters	10.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October. The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	1.1.d	Number of transnational innovation clusters supported	clusters	10.00	16.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the projects proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 31 .

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	1.1.a	Number of operational instruments to favour innovation of SMEs	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	1.1.a	Number of operational instruments to favour innovation of SMEs	92.00	0.00	0.00
F	1.1.b	Number of enterprises receiving grants	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	1.1.b	Number of enterprises receiving grants	15.00	0.00	0.00
F	1.1.c	Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	1.1.c	Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	1,232.00	0.00	0.00
F	1.1.d	Number of transnational innovation clusters supported	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	1.1.d	Number of transnational innovation clusters supported	16.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	1 - Promoting Mediterranean innovation capacities to develop smart and sustainable growth
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies
Specific objective	1.1 - To increase transnational activity of innovative clusters and networks of key sectors of the MED area

Table 1: Result indicators - 1.1b.1.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
1.1.1	Share of innovative clusters (i.e. including RDI activities) offering to their members a consolidated mix of involved in transnational activities concerning in key sectors of the MED area	Innovative clusters (%)	50.00	2014	66.00			Baseline values have been calculated in 2014, during the drafting of the CP, with specific methods for each result indicator. The calculation method will be replicated in early 2019, to measure the progress of the indicators at the end of 2018. For this reason, target values for 2017 remain the same as 2014. Revised values will be available in the 2019 Annual Report.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.1.1	Share of innovative clusters (i.e. including RDI activities) offering to their members a consolidated mix of involved in transnational activities concerning in key sectors of the MED area	50.00		50.00		50.00	

Priority axis	2 - Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and rural areas
Investment priority	4c - Supporting energy efficiency, smart energy management and renewable energy use in public infrastructure, including in public buildings, and in the housing sector

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.4c

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	2.1.a	Number of available planning tools to monitor and manage energy consumption in public buildings	Tools	5.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017 . The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources) . The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects. However, we can already anticipate some figures coming from the 2nd reporting period (ending in Dec. 2017). 4 tools have been declared as realised value contributing to this output indicator. This figure has to be verified and validated by the JS in the first semester of 2018 (deadline for submission of payment claim and progress report being the 03/04/2018).
S	2.1.a	Number of available planning tools to monitor and manage energy consumption in public buildings	Tools	5.00	14.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, since no new call was launched concerning this specific objective.
F	2.1.b	Number of strategies supporting plans on energy consumption management for public buildings	Models	5.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017 . The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources) . The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects. However, we can already anticipate some figures coming from the 2nd reporting period (ending in Dec. 2017). 1 strategy has been declared as realised value contributing to this output indicator. This figure has to be verified and validated by the JS in the first semester of 2018 (deadline for submission of payment claim and progress report being the 03/04/2018).
S	2.1.b	Number of strategies supporting plans on energy consumption management for public buildings	Models	5.00	11.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, since no new call was launched concerning this specific objective.
F	2.1.c	Number of target groups participating in capacity raising activities on energy efficiency for public buildings	Participants	570.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017 . The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources) . The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects. However, we can already anticipate some figures coming from the 2nd reporting period (ending in Dec. 2017). 25 target groups have been declared as realised and contributing to this output indicator. This figure has to be verified and validated by the JS in the first semester of 2018 (deadline for submission of payment claim and progress report being the 03/04/2018).
S	2.1.c	Number of target groups participating in capacity raising activities on energy efficiency for public buildings	Participants	570.00	411.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, since no new call was launched concerning this specific objective.
F	2.1.d	Number of regions and sub-regions engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in developing energy efficiency plans/strategies	Territories	176.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017 . The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources) . The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects. However, we can already anticipate some figures coming from the 2nd reporting period (ending in Dec. 2017). 136 territories have been declared as realised value contributing to this output indicator. This figure has to be verified and validated by the JS in the first semester of 2018 (deadline for submission of payment claim and progress report being the 03/04/2018).
S	2.1.d	Number of regions and sub-regions engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in developing energy efficiency plans/strategies	Territories	176.00	170.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, since no new call was launched concerning this specific objective.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	2.1.a	Number of available planning tools to monitor and manage energy consumption in public buildings	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.1.a	Number of available planning tools to monitor and manage energy consumption in public buildings	14.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.1.b	Number of strategies supporting plans on energy consumption management for public buildings	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.1.b	Number of strategies supporting plans on energy consumption management for public buildings	11.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.1.c	Number of target groups participating in capacity raising activities on energy efficiency for public buildings	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.1.c	Number of target groups participating in capacity raising activities on energy efficiency for public buildings	411.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.1.d	Number of regions and sub-regions engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in developing energy efficiency plans/strategies	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.1.d	Number of regions and sub-regions engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in developing energy efficiency plans/strategies	170.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	2 - Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and rural areas
Investment priority	4c - Supporting energy efficiency, smart energy management and renewable energy use in public infrastructure, including in public buildings, and in the housing sector
Specific objective	2.1 - To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level

Table 1: Result indicators - 2.4c.2.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
2.1.1	Share of regional, sub-regional and local energy efficiency action plans including initiatives adapted measures for public building stock	Regional plans (%)	16.00	2014	34.00	16.00		Baseline values have been calculated in 2014, during the drafting of the CP, with specific methods for each result indicator. The calculation method will be replicated in early 2019, to measure the progress of the indicators at the end of 2018. For this reason, target values for 2017 remain the same as 2014. Revised values will be available in the 2019 Annual Report.
2.1.2	Share of regional, sub-regional and local energy efficiency plans including adapted measures for public building stock	Local plans (%)	29.00	2014	39.00	29.00		Baseline values have been calculated in 2014, during the drafting of the CP, with specific methods for each result indicator. The calculation method will be replicated in early 2019, to measure the progress of the indicators at the end of 2018. For this reason, target values for 2017 remain the same as 2014. Revised values will be available in the 2019 Annual Report.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.1.1	Share of regional, sub-regional and local energy efficiency action plans including initiatives adapted measures for public building stock	16.00		16.00		16.00	
2.1.2	Share of regional, sub-regional and local energy efficiency plans including adapted measures for public building stock	29.00		29.00		29.00	

Priority axis	2 - Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and rural areas
Investment priority	4e - Promoting low-carbon strategies for all types of territories, in particular for urban areas, including the promotion of sustainable multimodal urban mobility and mitigation-relevant adaptation measures

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.4e

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	2.2.a	Number of planning tools to develop energy plans including local RES	Tools	5.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017 . The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources) . The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects. However, we can already anticipate some figures coming from the 2nd reporting period (ending in Dec. 2017). 2 tools have been declared as realised value contributing to this output indicator. This figure has to be verified and validated by the JS in the first semester of 2018 (deadline for submission of payment claim and progress report being the 03/04/2018).
S	2.2.a	Number of planning tools to develop energy plans including local RES	Tools	5.00	6.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, since no new call was launched concerning this specific objective.
F	2.2.b	Population of islands covered by plans	population	1,600,000.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017 . The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources) . The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	2.2.b	Population of islands covered by plans	population	1,600,000.00	1,102,500.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, since no new call was launched concerning this specific objective.
F	2.2.c	Population of rural areas covered by plans	population	1,200,000.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017 . The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	2.2.c	Population of rural areas covered by plans	population	1,200,000.00	1,455,000.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, since no new call was launched concerning this specific objective.
F	2.2.d	Number of models to develop action plans including local RES in energy mix	models	5.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017 . The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	2.2.d	Number of models to develop action plans including local RES in energy mix	models	5.00	6.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, since no new call was launched concerning this specific objective.
F	2.2.e	Number of regions and sub-regions engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in increasing share of local RES in energy mi	territories	192.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017 . The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources) The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	2.2.e	Number of regions and sub-regions engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in increasing share of local RES in energy mi	territories	192.00	94.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, since no new call was launched concerning this specific objective.
F	2.3.a	Number of instruments available to foster the use of LC transport solutions, including multimodal ones	Instruments	5.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017 . The activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	2.3.a	Number of instruments available to foster the use of LC transport solutions, including multimodal ones	Instruments	5.00	10.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the project proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 4 .
F	2.3.b	Number of models to develop urban plans including low carbon transport and multimodal connections soft actions	models	10.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017. The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
						However, we can already anticipate some figures coming from the 2nd reporting period (ending in Dec. 2017). 1 model has been declared as realised value contributing to this output indicator. This figure has to be verified and validated by the JS in the first semester of 2018 (deadline for submission of payment claim and progress report being the 03/04/2018).
S	2.3.b	Number of models to develop urban plans including low carbon transport and multimodal connections soft actions	models	10.00	7.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the project proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 1 .
F	2.3.c	Population involved in awareness raising activities	population	300,000.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017. The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources) . The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	2.3.c	Population involved in awareness raising activities	population	300,000.00	64,680.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the project proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 3350 .
F	2.3.d	Number of urban areas engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in developing urban plans/strategies including low carbon transport and multimodal connections soft actions	territories	80.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017. The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources) . The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	2.3.d	Number of urban areas engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in developing urban plans/strategies including low carbon transport and multimodal connections soft actions	territories	80.00	27.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the project proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 16 .

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	2.2.a	Number of planning tools to develop energy plans including local RES	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.2.a	Number of planning tools to develop energy plans including local RES	6.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.2.b	Population of islands covered by plans	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.2.b	Population of islands covered by plans	1,102,500.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.2.c	Population of rural areas covered by plans	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.2.c	Population of rural areas covered by plans	1,455,000.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.2.d	Number of models to develop action plans including local RES in energy mix	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.2.d	Number of models to develop action plans including local RES in energy mix	6.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.2.e	Number of regions and sub-regions engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in increasing share of local RES in energy mi	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.2.e	Number of regions and sub-regions engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in increasing share of local RES in energy mi	94.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.3.a	Number of instruments available to foster the use of LC transport solutions, including multimodal ones	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.3.a	Number of instruments available to foster the use of LC transport solutions, including multimodal ones	10.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.3.b	Number of models to develop urban plans including low carbon transport and multimodal connections soft actions	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.3.b	Number of models to develop urban plans including low carbon transport and multimodal connections soft actions	7.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.3.c	Population involved in awareness raising activities	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.3.c	Population involved in awareness raising activities	64,680.00	0.00	0.00
F	2.3.d	Number of urban areas engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in developing urban plans/strategies including low carbon transport and multimodal connections soft actions	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	2.3.d	Number of urban areas engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in developing urban plans/strategies including low carbon transport and multimodal connections soft actions	27.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	2 - Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and rural areas
Investment priority	4e - Promoting low-carbon strategies for all types of territories, in particular for urban areas, including the promotion of sustainable multimodal urban mobility and mitigation-relevant adaptation measures
Specific objective	2.2 - To increase the share of renewable local energy sources in energy mix strategies and plans in specific MED territories

Table 1: Result indicators - 2.4e.2.2

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
2.2.1	Share of renewable energy from local sources, in energy mix of MED islands and rural areas	% of local RES in energy mix strategies in islands	7.24	2014	19.77	7.24		Baseline values have been calculated in 2014, during the drafting of the CP, with specific methods for each result indicator. The calculation method will be replicated in early 2019, to measure the progress of the indicators at the end of 2018. For this reason, target values for 2017 remain the same as 2014. Revised values will be available in the 2019 Annual Report.
2.2.2	Share of renewable energy from local sources, in energy mix of MED islands and rural areas	% of local RES in energy mix strategies in rural areas	7.28	2014	12.89	7.28		Baseline values have been calculated in 2014, during the drafting of the CP, with specific methods for each result indicator. The calculation method will be replicated in early 2019, to measure the progress of the indicators at the end of 2018. For this reason, target values for 2017 remain the same as 2014. Revised values will be available in the 2019 Annual Report.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.2.1	Share of renewable energy from local sources, in energy mix of MED islands and rural areas	7.24		7.24		7.24	
2.2.2	Share of renewable energy from local sources, in energy mix of MED islands and rural areas	7.28		7.28		7.28	

Priority axis	2 - Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and rural areas
Investment priority	4e - Promoting low-carbon strategies for all types of territories, in particular for urban areas, including the promotion of sustainable multimodal urban mobility and mitigation-relevant adaptation measures
Specific objective	2.3 - To increase capacity to use existing low carbon transport systems and multimodal connections among them

Table 1: Result indicators - 2.4e.2.3

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
2.3.1	Share of urban plans which include low carbon transport and multimodal connection soft actions	Plans providing soft actions for sustainable transport (%)	23.00	2014	47.00	23.00		Baseline values have been calculated in 2014, during the drafting of the CP, with specific methods for each result indicator. The calculation method will be replicated in early 2019, to measure the progress of the indicators at the end of 2018. For this reason, target values for 2017 remain the same as 2014. Revised values will be available in the 2019 Annual Report.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.3.1	Share of urban plans which include low carbon transport and multimodal connection soft actions	23.00		23.00		23.00	

Priority axis	3 - Protecting and promoting Mediterranean natural and cultural resources
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 3.6c

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	3.1.a	Number of instruments available to enhance the development of sustainable and responsible tourism	Instruments	17.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects. However, we can already anticipate some figures coming from the 2nd reporting period (ending in December 2017). 5 instruments have been declared as realised value contributing to this output indicator. This figure has to be verified and validated by the JS in the first semester of 2018 (deadline for submission of payment claim and progress report being the 03/04/2018).
S	3.1.a	Number of instruments available to enhance the development of sustainable and responsible tourism	Instruments	17.00	30.00	The values related to "outputs to be delivered by selected operations" have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the projects proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 9 instruments.
F	3.1.b	Number of tourist destinations covered by a sustainable tourism evaluation tool	Tourism destinations	108.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects. However, we can already anticipate some figures coming from the 2nd reporting period (ending in Dec. 2017). 5 tourist destinations have been declared as realised value contributing to this output indicator. This figure has to be verified and validated by the JS in the first semester of 2018 (deadline for submission of payment claim and progress report being the 03/04/2018)
S	3.1.b	Number of tourist destinations covered by a sustainable tourism evaluation tool	Tourism destinations	108.00	109.00	The values related to "outputs to be delivered by selected operations" have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the projects proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 77 tourist destinations.
F	3.1.c	Number of strategies applying sustainable tourism management criteria	Strategies	11.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017. The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	3.1.c	Number of strategies applying sustainable tourism management criteria	Strategies	11.00	12.00	The values related to "outputs to be delivered by selected operations" have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the projects proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 22 strategies.
F	3.1.d	Number of regions and sub-regions engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in implementing sustainable tourism plans	Territories	144.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017. The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	3.1.d	Number of regions and sub-regions engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in implementing sustainable tourism plans	Territories	144.00	97.00	The values related to "outputs to be delivered by selected operations" have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the projects proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 207 regions.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	3.1.a	Number of instruments available to enhance the development of sustainable and responsible tourism	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.1.a	Number of instruments available to enhance the development of sustainable and responsible tourism	30.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.1.b	Number of tourist destinations covered by a sustainable tourism evaluation tool	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.1.b	Number of tourist destinations covered by a sustainable tourism evaluation tool	109.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.1.c	Number of strategies applying sustainable tourism management criteria	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.1.c	Number of strategies applying sustainable tourism management criteria	12.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.1.d	Number of regions and sub-regions engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in implementing sustainable tourism plans	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.1.d	Number of regions and sub-regions engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in implementing sustainable tourism plans	97.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	3 - Protecting and promoting Mediterranean natural and cultural resources
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage
Specific objective	3.1 - To enhance the development of a sustainable and responsible coastal and maritime tourism in the MED area

Table 1: Result indicators - 3.6c.3.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
3.1.1	Level of sustainability of tourism in MED coastal regions	%	26.70	2014	35.80	26.70		Baseline values have been calculated in 2014, during the drafting of the CP, with specific methods for each result indicator. The calculation method will be replicated in early 2019, to measure the progress of the indicators at the end of 2018. For this reason, target values for 2017 remain the same as 2014. Revised values will be available in the 2019 Annual Report.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.1.1	Level of sustainability of tourism in MED coastal regions	26.70		26.70		26.70	

Priority axis	3 - Protecting and promoting Mediterranean natural and cultural resources
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 3.6d

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	3.2.a	Number of joint governance plans	Plans	36.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017. The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	3.2.a	Number of joint governance plans	Plans	36.00	8.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the projects proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 6 plans.
F	3.2.b	Surface of habitats supported to attain a better conservation status	Hectares	1,250,000.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017. The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	3.2.b	Surface of habitats supported to attain a better conservation status	Hectares	1,250,000.00	2,548,414.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the projects proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 9 324 491 hectares.
F	3.2.c	Number of protected areas engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in implementing management strategies	Protected areas	50.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017. The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
S	3.2.c	Number of protected areas engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in implementing management strategies	Protected areas	50.00	58.00	The values related to " outputs to be delivered by selected operations " have not changed since 2016, because the projects approved under the 2nd call for modular projects were selected in January 2018 and not within the year 2017. However, from the projects proposed for approval to the Steering Committee of the Programme, we can anticipate that this indicator will be increased by 34 areas.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	3.2.a	Number of joint governance plans	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.2.a	Number of joint governance plans	8.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.2.b	Surface of habitats supported to attain a better conservation status	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.2.b	Surface of habitats supported to attain a better conservation status	2,548,414.00	0.00	0.00
F	3.2.c	Number of protected areas engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in implementing management strategies	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	3.2.c	Number of protected areas engaged (through charters, protocols, MoU) in implementing management strategies	58.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	3 - Protecting and promoting Mediterranean natural and cultural resources
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure
Specific objective	3.2 - To maintain biodiversity and natural ecosystems through strengthening the management and networking of protected areas

Table 1: Result indicators - 3.6d.3.2

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
3.2.1	Share of protected areas meeting their conservation goals and objectives (thanks to their improved management)	Land based Natura 2000 sites (%)	73.50	2014	85.00	73.50		Baseline values have been calculated in 2014, during the drafting of the CP, with specific methods for each result indicator. The calculation method will be replicated in early 2019, to measure the progress of the indicators at the end of 2018. For this reason, target values for 2017 remain the same as 2014. Revised values will be available in the 2019 Annual Report.
3.2.2	Share of protected areas meeting their conservation goals and objectives (thanks to their improved management)	Marine Protected Areas (%)	65.00	2012	80.00	65.00		Baseline values have been calculated in 2014, during the drafting of the CP, with specific methods for each result indicator. The calculation method will be replicated in early 2019, to measure the progress of the indicators at the end of 2018. For this reason, target values for 2017 remain the same as 2014. Revised values will be available in the 2019 Annual Report.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.2.1	Share of protected areas meeting their conservation goals and objectives (thanks to their improved management)	73.50		73.50		73.50	
3.2.2	Share of protected areas meeting their conservation goals and objectives (thanks to their improved management)	65.00		65.00		65.00	

Priority axis	4 - Enhancing Mediterranean Governance
Investment priority	11c - Developing and coordinating macro-regional and sea-basin strategies (ETC-TN)

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 4.11c

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	4.1.a	Number of stakeholders (structures) involved in supported initiatives (per category representing public and private stakeholders)	Organisations	1,200.00	0.00	The Panoramad project was programmed in June 2017. New projects will not be programmed before 2019 as they will be one of the achievements of the Panoramad project itself via the production of ad hoc ToRs for strategic projects.
S	4.1.a	Number of stakeholders (structures) involved in supported initiatives (per category representing public and private stakeholders)	Organisations	1,200.00	1,330.00	The Panoramad project was programmed in June 2017. New projects will not be programmed before 2019 as they will be one of the achievements of the Panoramad project itself via the production of ad hoc ToRs for strategic projects.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	4.1.a	Number of stakeholders (structures) involved in supported initiatives (per category representing public and private stakeholders)	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	4.1.a	Number of stakeholders (structures) involved in supported initiatives (per category representing public and private stakeholders)	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	4 - Enhancing Mediterranean Governance
Investment priority	11c - Developing and coordinating macro-regional and sea-basin strategies (ETC-TN)
Specific objective	4.1 - To support the process of strengthening and developing multilateral coordination frameworks in the Mediterranean for joint responses to common challenges

Table 1: Result indicators - 4.11c.4.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
4.1.1	Number of joint thematic action plans allowing to implement coordinated strategic operations	Joint action plans (number)	7.00	2014	10.00	7.00		Baseline values have been calculated in 2014, during the drafting of the CP, with specific methods for each result indicator. The calculation method will be replicated in early 2019, to measure the progress of the indicators at the end of 2018. For this reason, target values for 2017 remain the same as 2014. Revised values will be available in the 2019 Annual Report.

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
4.1.1	Number of joint thematic action plans allowing to implement coordinated strategic operations	7.00		7.00		7.00	

Priority axes for technical assistance

Priority axis	5 - Technical Assistance
---------------	--------------------------

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 5.Technical Assistance

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	5a	Established Joint Secretariat	Number	1.00	1.00	
S	5a	Established Joint Secretariat	Number	1.00	1.00	
F	5b	Calls for proposals successfully launched and closed	Number		6.00	2015 : modular project call (multi) 2016 : horizontal projects call 2017: modular projects call + intergated projects call + Axis 4 platform project call + Axis 5 technical assistance projects call
S	5b	Calls for proposals successfully launched and closed	Number		6.00	2015 : modular project call (multi) 2016 : horizontal projects call 2017: modular projects call + intergated projects call + Axis 4 platform project call + Axis 5 technical assistance projects call
F	5c	Operations approved following calls for proposals	Number	140.00	84.00	61 modular projects 8 horizontal projects 1 platform project 14 TA projects
S	5c	Operations approved following calls for proposals	Number	140.00	84.00	61 modular projects 8 horizontal projects 1 platform project 14 TA projects
F	5d	Periodic progress reports of operations monitored and paid	Number	600.00	0.00	
S	5d	Periodic progress reports of operations monitored and paid	Number	600.00	70.00	2017 : 67 (modular and horizontal projects) + 3 (TA projects)
F	5e	Information and communication measures and tools developed	Number		141.00	2016: web Platform 2017 : 70 project logos + 70 project website
S	5e	Information and communication measures and tools developed	Number		141.00	2016: web Platform 2017 : 70 project logos + 70 project website
F	5f	Independent programme evaluations implemented (ex-ante and during programme implementation)	Number	2.00	1.00	2014 : ex ante evaluation
S	5f	Independent programme evaluations implemented (ex-ante and during programme implementation)	Number	2.00	2.00	2014 : ex ante evaluation 2017 : operational programme evaluation (not finalised)
F	5g	Programme e-Monitoring System developed and implemented	Number	1.00	1.00	
S	5g	Programme e-Monitoring System developed and implemented	Number	1.00	1.00	
F	5h	Network of national controllers established	Number	1.00	1.00	
S	5h	Network of national controllers established	Number	1.00	1.00	
F	5i	Audits on programme management and control system and on operations	Number		0.00	
S	5i	Audits on programme management and control system and on operations	Number		0.00	
F	5j	Information documents addressed to applicants and beneficiaries	Number		9.00	2016 : Terms of reference Horizontal call; Synergie guide, Programme Manual 2017: 4 application packs for the calls (modular projects, intergated projects, axis 4 platform project, axis 5 technical assistance projects) + Web platform guide + TA manual
S	5j	Information documents addressed to applicants and beneficiaries	Number		9.00	2016 : Terms of reference Horizontal call; Synergie guide, Programme Manual

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
		beneficiaries				2017: 4 application packs for the calls (modular projects, intergated projects, axis 4 platform project, axis 5 technical assistance projects) + Web platform guide + TA manual
F	5k	Consultation, information, training and exchange workshops for applicants and beneficiaries organised	Number		20.00	2016 : LP seminar, Applicant seminar, French Info day 2017: Community building event (Alicante), 2 applicant seminar (Barcelona and Marseille), LP seminar, 3 webplatform training (Nice, Thessaloniki, webinar), 8 national Info days (Slovenia, Craotia, IPA, Italy, Portugal, Malta, Greece, Cyprus) and 3 seminars on FLC (Spain, France, Italy), 3 workshops with horizontal projets
S	5k	Consultation, information, training and exchange workshops for applicants and beneficiaries organised	Number		20.00	2016 : LP seminar, Applicant seminar, French Info day 2017: Community building event (Alicante), 2 applicant seminar (Barcelona and Marseille), LP seminar, 3 webplatform training (Nice, Thessaloniki, webinar), 8 national Info days (Slovenia, Craotia, IPA, Italy, Portugal, Malta, Greece, Cyprus) and 3 seminars on FLC (Spain, France, Italy), 3 workshops with horizontal projets
F	5l	Established national Contact Points	Number	10.00	13.00	
S	5l	Established national Contact Points	Number	10.00	13.00	
F	5m	Studies, reports and surveys on strategic matters concerning the programme	Number		1.00	2016 : Document cadre Panoramed project
S	5m	Studies, reports and surveys on strategic matters concerning the programme	Number		1.00	2016 : Document cadre Panoramed project

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	5a	Established Joint Secretariat	1.00	1.00	1.00
S	5a	Established Joint Secretariat	1.00	1.00	1.00
F	5b	Calls for proposals successfully launched and closed	1.00	1.00	0.00
S	5b	Calls for proposals successfully launched and closed	2.00	0.00	0.00
F	5c	Operations approved following calls for proposals	69.00	0.00	0.00
S	5c	Operations approved following calls for proposals	69.00	0.00	0.00
F	5d	Periodic progress reports of operations monitored and paid	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	5d	Periodic progress reports of operations monitored and paid	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	5e	Information and communication measures and tools developed	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	5e	Information and communication measures and tools developed	1.00	0.00	0.00
F	5f	Independent programme evaluations implemented (ex-ante and during programme implementation)	0.00	0.00	1.00
S	5f	Independent programme evaluations implemented (ex-ante and during programme implementation)	0.00	0.00	1.00
F	5g	Programme e-Monitoring System developed and implemented	1.00	1.00	0.00
S	5g	Programme e-Monitoring System developed and implemented	1.00	1.00	0.00
F	5h	Network of national controllers established	1.00	0.00	0.00
S	5h	Network of national controllers established	1.00	0.00	0.00
F	5i	Audits on programme management and control system and on operations	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	5i	Audits on programme management and control system and on operations	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	5j	Information documents addressed to applicants and beneficiaries	3.00	9.00	0.00
S	5j	Information documents addressed to applicants and beneficiaries	3.00	9.00	0.00
F	5k	Consultation, information, training and exchange workshops for applicants and beneficiaries organised	3.00	3.00	0.00
S	5k	Consultation, information, training and exchange workshops for applicants and beneficiaries organised	3.00	3.00	0.00
F	5l	Established national Contact Points	10.00	10.00	0.00
S	5l	Established national Contact Points	10.00	10.00	0.00
F	5m	Studies, reports and surveys on strategic matters concerning the programme	1.00	0.00	0.00
S	5m	Studies, reports and surveys on strategic matters concerning the programme	1.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

3.3 Table 3: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone for 2018 total	Final target (2023) total	2017	Observations
1	F	FI	Expenses declared to the EC	Euro	12,667,625.00	84,450,833.00	0.00	The CP was validated in June 2015. The first call for proposals was launched in September 2015 and 69 projects were programmed before the end of 2016 (in September and December). The first progress report (concerning the first semester of activity) was received in October 2017. The certified activities mainly concern the administrative organisation of projects and partnerships (contractualisation and kicks off, launching of public tenders and recruitment of human resources). The production of outputs is planned for a later stage in the work plan of the projects.
1	O	1.1.a	Number of operational instruments to favour innovation of SMEs	Instruments	8	44.00	0.00	
2	F	FI	Expenses declared to the EC	Euro	7,917,266.00	52,781,771.00	0.00	
2	O	2.1.a	Number of available planning tools to monitor and manage energy consumption in public buildings	Tools	3	5.00	0.00	
2	O	2.2.a	Number of planning tools to develop energy plans including local RES	Tools	3	5.00	0.00	
2	O	2.3.a	Number of instruments available to foster the use of LC transport solutions, including multimodal ones	Instruments	3	5.00	0.00	
3	F	FI	Expenses declared to the EC	Euro	13,459,352.00	89,729,012.00	0.00	
3	O	3.1.a	Number of instruments available to enhance the development of sustainable and responsible tourism	Instruments	10	17.00	0.00	
3	O	3.2.a	Number of joint governance plans	Plans	18	36.00	0.00	
4	F	FI	Expenses declared to the EC	Euro	3,166,906.00	21,112,708.00	0.00	
4	O	4.1.a	Number of stakeholders (structures) involved in supported initiatives (per category representing public and private stakeholders)	Organisations	200	1,200.00	0.00	

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2016	2015	2014
1	F	FI	Expenses declared to the EC	Euro			
1	O	1.1.a	Number of operational instruments to favour innovation of SMEs	Instruments			

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2016	2015	2014
2	F	FI	Expenses declared to the EC	Euro			
2	O	2.1.a	Number of available planning tools to monitor and manage energy consumption in public buildings	Tools			
2	O	2.2.a	Number of planning tools to develop energy plans including local RES	Tools			
2	O	2.3.a	Number of instruments available to foster the use of LC transport solutions, including multimodal ones	Instruments			
3	F	FI	Expenses declared to the EC	Euro			
3	O	3.1.a	Number of instruments available to enhance the development of sustainable and responsible tourism	Instruments			
3	O	3.2.a	Number of joint governance plans	Plans			
4	F	FI	Expenses declared to the EC	Euro			
4	O	4.1.a	Number of stakeholders (structures) involved in supported initiatives (per category representing public and private stakeholders)	Organisations			

3.4. Financial data

Table 4: Financial information at priority axis and programme level

As set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and table 16 of model for cooperation programmes under the European territorial cooperation goal

Priority axis	Fund	Calculation basis	Total funding	Co-financing rate	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Proportion of the total allocation covered with selected operations	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	Total	84,450,833.00	85.00	36,699,128.29	43.46%	35,107,939.80	3,361,670.20	3.98%	19
1	IPA(e)	Total	3,081,906.00	85.00	833,633.52	27.05%	833,633.52	65,418.37	2.12%	7
2	ERDF	Total	52,781,771.00	85.00	46,088,256.47	87.32%	44,970,950.65	4,621,097.83	8.76%	25
2	IPA(e)	Total	2,201,364.00	85.00	1,884,645.21	85.61%	1,884,645.21	139,488.46	6.34%	11
3	ERDF	Total	89,729,012.00	85.00	50,273,043.33	56.03%	48,292,020.58	4,534,749.34	5.05%	25
3	IPA(e)	Total	3,742,313.00	85.00	920,218.33	24.59%	920,218.33	51,978.01	1.39%	6
4	ERDF	Public	21,112,708.00	85.00	8,386,620.00	39.72%	8,386,620.00	0.00	0.00%	1
4	IPA(e)	Public	880,544.00	85.00	613,380.00	69.66%	613,380.00	0.00	0.00%	1
5	ERDF	Public	16,824,190.00	80.00	16,786,190.00	99.77%	16,786,190.00	1,207,941.68	7.18%	10
5	IPA(e)	Public	1,100,680.00	85.00	1,100,680.19	100.00%	1,100,680.19	0.00	0.00%	4
Total	ERDF		264,898,514.00	84.68	158,233,238.09	59.73%	153,543,721.03	13,725,459.05	5.18%	80
Total	IPA(e)		11,006,807.00	85.00	5,352,557.25	48.63%	5,352,557.25	256,884.84	2.33%	29
Grand total			275,905,321.00	84.70	163,585,795.34	59.29%	158,896,278.28	13,982,343.89	5.07%	109

Where applicable, the use of any contribution from third countries participating in the cooperation programme should be provided (for example IPA and ENI, Norway, Switzerland)

The Interreg MED Programme is a transnational programme co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). Furthermore, the programme includes in its eligible territory Mediterranean States candidates or potential candidates to the EU participating with the Instrument for Pre-Accession assistance funds (IPA Funds). The management of these funds is integrated into the system of management and control of the Interreg MED Programme and is under the responsibility of the Managing Authority, as it was established during the 2007-2013 period.

26 of the 70 on-going projects in 2017 involved 46 IPA partners with an IPA allocation of 3 092 722.50 €. A novelty compared with the previous programming period is that one third of the participations are as associate partners (13), that shows the capacity of these partners to involve other stakeholders of their territories in projects.

The breakdown of the partners by country and axis and the programming situation for each of the axes is the following:

- Axis 1: 4 partners from Albania, 3 partners from Bosnia and Herzegovina and 1 partner from Montenegro; with a programming rate for the axis of 27%.
- Axis 2: 12 partners from Albania, 8 partners from Bosnia and Herzegovina and 3 partners from Montenegro; with a programming rate for the axis of 86%.
- Axis 3: 7 partners from Albania, 2 partners from Bosnia and Herzegovina and 3 partners from Montenegro; with a programming rate for the axis of 25%.
- Axis 4: 1 partner from Albania, 1 partner from Bosnia and Herzegovina and 1 partner from Montenegro; with a programming rate for the axis of 70%.

- In conclusion, there are 24 partners from Albania, 14 partners from Bosnia and Herzegovina, 8 partners from Montenegro; with a total programming rate for IPA funds of 43%.

It is important to note that these programming rates will increase considerably with the programming of new projects in early 2018. That participation of IPA partners in new calls for proposals will be subject to the availability of this type of funds.

In 2017, the signature of the financing agreements between the European Commission, the Interreg MED Managing Authority and each IPA Participating State (Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro) has been concluded.

This allowed 26 IPA to request an advance payment (10% of the approved IPA budget). These requests have been verified by the Management Authority and sent to the Certifying Authority for payment. This advance mechanism is not available to ERDF partners.

In addition, IPA partners have been able to certify their expenditure at the same time than ERDF partners, which will allow a better participation of these partners in the projects implementation. After the first payment claim, the IPA consumption rate (6%) is lower than the Programme average (9%). In this sense, it is important to note that it is too soon to identify any kind of delay regarding those partners and that it may be explained due to the delay on the payment of advance requests.

Table 5: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention

As set out in Table 2 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and tables 6-9 of Model for cooperation programmes

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	060	01	01	07	01		18	EL232	2,998,237.00	2,879,254.50	420,506.35	1
1	ERDF	060	01	01	07	01		22	ESS11	1,050,400.01	939,071.91	144,081.52	1
1	ERDF	060	01	01	07	01		23	ITF47	1,440,390.00	1,403,257.50	62,760.68	1
1	ERDF	060	01	02	07	01		22	EL300	2,396,104.00	2,321,617.90	356,582.16	1
1	ERDF	062	01	01	07	01		22	ITI43	1,411,764.71	1,335,797.43	224,710.02	1
1	ERDF	062	01	02	07	01		07	ITI43	2,050,000.00	1,916,500.00	99,015.38	1
1	ERDF	062	01	02	07	01		22	ITH42	2,087,855.00	2,010,080.00	82,603.28	1
1	ERDF	063	01	01	07	01		01	FR824	1,031,825.00	1,010,420.00	148,168.04	1
1	ERDF	063	01	01	07	01		04	ITI15	2,221,050.00	2,043,270.00	310,790.87	1
1	ERDF	063	01	01	07	01		22	ITI19	2,407,425.00	2,368,987.50	259,632.57	1
1	ERDF	063	01	01	07	01		23	ITH3	2,711,815.33	2,659,875.53	168,105.90	1
1	ERDF	063	01	01	07	01		23	ITI43	600,000.00	594,000.00	171,483.63	1
1	ERDF	063	01	03	07	01		01	EL300	2,008,200.00	1,940,407.50	141,778.47	1
1	ERDF	063	01	06	07	01		24	FR824	599,972.50	562,003.77	78,023.77	1
1	ERDF	065	01	02	07	01		01	ITI43	2,438,360.51	2,129,945.73	204,166.03	1
1	ERDF	065	01	02	07	01		22	ITH35	2,230,312.00	2,161,889.80	161,300.78	1
1	ERDF	067	01	01	07	01		23	ITH44	2,317,222.00	2,279,656.00	196,112.80	1
1	ERDF	067	01	02	07	01		01	ITH55	2,001,146.24	1,879,076.24	0.00	1
1	ERDF	078	01	01	07	01		18	ITI21	2,697,048.99	2,672,828.49	131,847.95	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	01	07			18	EL232	206,550.00	206,550.00	28,300.41	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	01	07			22	ESS11	49,599.99	49,599.99	5,431.80	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	01	07			23	ITF47	58,650.00	58,650.00	8,417.41	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	01	07			22	ITI43	58,823.53	58,823.53	0.00	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	02	07			22	ITH42	165,000.00	165,000.00	11,957.80	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	01	07			01	FR824	141,350.00	141,350.00	11,310.95	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	01	07			23	ITH44	153,660.00	153,660.00	0.00	1
2	ERDF	010	01	02	05	04		22	ITF51	1,868,512.00	1,672,921.00	117,658.01	1
2	ERDF	010	01	03	05	04		22	CY000	1,991,077.25	1,960,969.10	113,610.96	1
2	ERDF	011	01	03	05	04		22	ITG12	2,048,847.48	2,024,084.36	120,651.38	1
2	ERDF	012	01	03	05	04		22	ITI43	599,573.00	599,573.00	141,464.64	1
2	ERDF	013	01	01	02	04		22	EL122	2,087,645.00	1,999,353.50	325,357.44	1
2	ERDF	013	01	01	02	04		22	EL300	2,013,194.00	2,013,194.00	116,941.02	1
2	ERDF	013	01	01	02	04		22	ESS11	3,591,689.35	3,550,614.29	325,129.81	1
2	ERDF	013	01	01	02	04		22	FR823	1,092,250.00	1,037,901.25	150,279.46	1
2	ERDF	013	01	01	02	04		22	HR041	826,849.86	826,849.86	222,518.39	1
2	ERDF	013	01	01	02	04		22	ITC11	5,133,487.50	5,052,862.71	321,640.95	2
2	ERDF	013	01	01	02	04		22	ITF51	2,234,295.85	2,234,295.85	206,278.94	1
2	ERDF	013	01	01	02	04		22	ITH34	1,099,811.75	1,041,494.12	198,052.63	1
2	ERDF	013	01	01	02	04		22	ITH43	550,400.00	550,400.00	112,461.24	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
2	ERDF	013	01	01	02	04		22	ITI43	2,630,000.00	2,594,000.00	111,960.75	1
2	ERDF	023	01	02	05	04		22	ES523	1,926,245.45	1,860,525.26	278,955.50	1
2	ERDF	023	01	02	05	04		22	SI012	3,417,859.03	3,311,750.91	416,970.44	2
2	ERDF	043	01	01	02	04		22	ES617	1,165,000.00	1,133,500.00	126,348.35	1
2	ERDF	043	01	01	02	04		22	ITH36	2,215,512.50	2,215,512.50	269,928.72	1
2	ERDF	043	01	01	02	04		22	ITH44	4,775,624.95	4,656,899.85	390,701.89	2
2	ERDF	044	01	01	02	04		22	ITF63	545,150.00	545,150.00	148,168.73	1
2	ERDF	044	01	01	02	04		22	PT17	1,806,893.75	1,726,883.75	121,147.85	1
2	ERDF	044	01	01	02	04		22	SI024	2,468,337.75	2,362,215.34	284,870.73	1
2	IPA(e)	013	01	01	02			22	EL122	170,450.00	170,450.00	0.00	1
2	IPA(e)	013	01	01	02			22	EL300	209,669.00	209,669.00	7,566.80	1
2	IPA(e)	013	01	01	02			22	HR041	104,750.51	104,750.51	12,775.11	1
2	IPA(e)	013	01	01	02			22	ITH34	100,873.00	100,873.00	5,003.65	1
2	IPA(e)	013	01	01	02			22	ITH43	49,600.00	49,600.00	9,173.20	1
2	IPA(e)	013	01	01	02			22	ITI43	210,000.00	210,000.00	15,925.88	1
2	IPA(e)	023	01	02	05			22	ES523	87,177.70	87,177.70	4,050.90	1
2	IPA(e)	023	01	02	05			22	SI012	356,385.00	356,385.00	37,168.65	2
2	IPA(e)	043	01	01	02			22	ITH44	595,740.00	595,740.00	47,824.27	2
3	ERDF	085	01	02	05	06		22	ES523	2,293,630.00	2,278,819.23	183,858.30	1
3	ERDF	085	01	02	05	06		22	ITH35	598,536.50	598,536.50	175,543.64	1
3	ERDF	085	01	03	02	06		15	SI021	2,218,473.66	2,027,121.19	289,382.63	1
3	ERDF	085	01	03	04	06		02	HR041	561,596.51	553,181.51	73,687.99	1
3	ERDF	085	01	03	04	06		02	ITI43	3,500,000.00	3,314,562.00	376,652.80	1
3	ERDF	085	01	03	04	06		22	ES511	2,442,806.64	2,353,960.96	163,276.36	2
3	ERDF	085	01	03	04	06		22	FR813	596,750.76	559,727.42	88,151.80	1
3	ERDF	085	01	03	04	06		22	FR815	2,826,080.49	2,726,553.47	173,632.09	1
3	ERDF	085	01	03	04	06		22	HR031	1,485,672.36	1,374,400.01	251,763.06	1
3	ERDF	085	01	03	04	06		22	ITC34	2,402,741.83	2,322,957.02	158,951.88	1
3	ERDF	085	01	03	04	06		22	ITH35	2,252,046.00	2,100,948.75	158,211.16	1
3	ERDF	085	01	03	04	06		22	ITI43	2,663,093.33	2,663,093.33	148,046.69	1
3	ERDF	085	01	03	05	06		22	ES617	1,402,250.00	1,359,050.00	197,838.95	1
3	ERDF	085	01	03	05	06		22	ITI43	2,360,000.00	2,156,000.00	216,721.49	1
3	ERDF	091	01	02	05	06		15	ITG12	2,041,550.00	1,703,253.75	83,937.55	1
3	ERDF	091	01	02	05	06		15	ITI14	2,650,000.00	2,627,500.00	179,391.89	1
3	ERDF	091	01	03	04	06		15	EL142	2,797,549.00	2,745,844.00	213,524.08	1
3	ERDF	091	01	03	05	06		15	ES618	2,578,131.89	2,528,995.25	76,694.98	1
3	ERDF	092	01	01	05	06		15	HR035	600,000.00	600,000.00	115,640.17	1
3	ERDF	092	01	02	05	06		15	EL113	3,000,000.00	2,969,400.00	321,025.50	1
3	ERDF	092	01	02	05	06		15	EL433	2,388,116.60	2,354,721.50	255,498.20	1
3	ERDF	092	01	02	05	06		15	MT001	2,447,000.00	2,256,350.00	241,648.37	1
3	ERDF	092	01	03	05	06		15	CY000	2,755,320.41	2,705,347.34	190,426.00	1
3	ERDF	092	01	03	05	06		15	ES511	1,411,697.35	1,411,697.35	201,243.76	1
3	IPA(e)	085	01	03	04			22	FR815	149,491.00	149,491.00	5,676.05	1
3	IPA(e)	085	01	03	04			22	HR031	267,554.00	267,554.00	21,986.00	1
3	IPA(e)	085	01	03	05			22	ES617	58,823.33	58,823.33	9,749.00	1
3	IPA(e)	085	01	03	05			22	ITI43	140,000.00	140,000.00	0.00	1
3	IPA(e)	091	01	02	05			15	ITG12	116,350.00	116,350.00	6,113.22	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
3	IPA(e)	092	01	02	05			15	MT001	188,000.00	188,000.00	8,453.74	1
4	ERDF	119	01	04	07	11		18	ES300	8,386,620.00	8,386,620.00	0.00	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	04	07			18	ES300	613,380.00	613,380.00	0.00	1
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			24	CY	103,000.00	103,000.00	11,802.45	1
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			24	EL122	316,000.00	316,000.00	0.00	1
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			24	ES300	418,000.00	418,000.00	0.00	1
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			24	FR824	14,608,190.00	14,608,190.00	1,174,874.87	2
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			24	HR041	103,000.00	103,000.00	0.00	1
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			24	ITH55	949,000.00	949,000.00	0.00	2
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			24	MT	93,000.00	93,000.00	21,264.36	1
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			24	PT17	103,000.00	103,000.00	0.00	1
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			24	SI021	93,000.00	93,000.00	0.00	1
5	IPA(e)	121	01	07	07			24	AL	183,446.73	183,446.73	0.00	1
5	IPA(e)	121	01	07	07			24	BA	183,446.73	183,446.73	0.00	1
5	IPA(e)	121	01	07	07			24	FR824	550,340.00	550,340.00	0.00	1
5	IPA(e)	121	01	07	07			24	ME000	183,446.73	183,446.73	0.00	1

Table 6: Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area

1. Operation (2)	2. The amount of ERDF support(1) envisaged to be used for all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area based on selected operations	3. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 2/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)	4. Eligible expenditure of ERDF support incurred in all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area declared by the beneficiary to the managing authority	5. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 4/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)
AMAre	204,000.00	0.09%	7,122.38	0.00%
BLUEISLANDS	105,574.25	0.05%	13,214.27	0.01%
CESBA MED	170,637.50	0.08%	1,955.00	0.00%
CO-EVOLVE	173,400.00	0.08%	16,001.97	0.01%
CreativeWear	139,230.00	0.06%	0.00	
GO SUMP	178,500.00	0.08%	28,955.66	0.01%
GREENOMED	170,000.00	0.08%	850.00	0.00%
InnoBlueGrowth	239,889.82	0.11%	23,597.01	0.01%
MEDCYCLETOUR	242,916.61	0.11%	0.00	
MEDNICE	147,241.25	0.07%	1,700.00	0.00%
MITOMED+	297,500.00	0.13%	16,485.47	0.01%
NEW FINANCE	0.00		187.00	0.00%
PANACeA	244,800.00	0.11%	33,857.73	0.02%
PANORAMED	2,154,368.78	0.96%	0.00	
PEFMED	412,250.00	0.18%	36,984.34	0.02%
Pegasus	59,483.00	0.03%	0.00	
Prominent MED	195,600.73	0.09%	0.00	
SHAPE TOURISM	0.00		248.26	0.00%
SHERPA	232,758.69	0.10%	22,933.84	0.01%
TALIA	245,607.50	0.11%	0.00	

WETNET	188,997.50	0.08%	850.00	0.00%
--------	------------	-------	--------	-------

- (1) ERDF support is the Commission decision on the respective cooperation programme.**
(2) In accordance with and subject to ceilings set out Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013.

4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS

As previewed in the Evaluation Plan, the Programme's operational evaluation is to be conducted with the assistance of an external evaluation expert. In 2017, the Joint Secretariat drafted the Terms of References of the Programme's operational evaluation, approved by the Monitoring Committee in the Spring and launched the public procurement procedure for the selection of the subcontractor. The external evaluators for the Interreg Med Programme being recruited, the operational evaluation was officially kicked off in July. The Programme received the first deliverable of the operational evaluation, the Inception Report, by the end of August. The JS stayed in constant contact with the external evaluators in regards to the review of the Inception Report and mobilized as well the experts of the Evaluation Working Group (the Evaluation Working Group is composed of Participant States experts and JS staff. The pool of experts is meant to support the JS in the performance of its technical tasks. They are systematically invited to review and comment the JS proposals and draft deliverables of the External Evaluators prior to submission to the MC). After the process of exchanges with the subcontractor, the finalized version of the Inception Report was successfully sent to the Programme's Monitoring Committee for review and validation in its upcoming meeting in Marseille in January 2018.

In accordance to the operational evaluation's time schedule, by the end of 2017, the external evaluators submitted as well the second deliverable of the operational evaluation, the "Methodologies and tools" report that is to be reviewed in 2018 by the JS and the Evaluation Working Group.

The Joint Secretariat from its side, being in charge of collecting and providing data on project and programme level to the external experts so that they are able to perform the evaluation activities, started organizing some surveys with beneficiaries and applicants in order to gather complementary data to the existing one. All the collected additional information was transferred to the external evaluators in order to be considered in their work for the first Interim Report.

Having the first data from the projects' progress reports at hand, the JS started assessing the progress of the deliverables and outputs as per achievement of set targets and quality criteria.

Finally, taking into account changes proposed as well by the "omnibus" text (not yet approved but waited for its final approval during the summer 2018), the Programme MED will integrate next year (performance framework analysis) all final output data.

In fact, it will be possible to capture significant data (from milestones or finalized activities) collected through all operations, even if not yet closed.

5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN

(a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken

The programme preparation phase was on-going until September 2014 when the CP was submitted to the EC, and the validation process of the CP took eight months to be completed. The programme was only validated by the EC in June 2015. Although parallel preparations were made during 2014-15 in order to open the first call for projects as soon as possible after the validation, it was not possible to conclude the selection of operations within 2015. Concerning the output and result indicators, there is a significant delay, as the calculated values in 2014 for the 2018 milestones, were estimated from projects starting in the beginning of 2016. It is therefore likely that the programme will have to adjust the 2018 indicator values to the fact that there is an additional delay in launching the first projects, in regard to what was foreseen when the CP was submitted. The designation processes for the MA and the CA were only concluded by the end of 2017. This fact postponed the date for the submission of the first payment claim of the on-going projects to Oct, instead of June, which was the date initially foreseen. In addition to that, the serious technical difficulties to establish communication flows between the on-line monitoring tool of the Interreg MED, Synergie CTE and the on-line monitoring tool of the CA, Coopera2020, made impossible the payment by the CA of the IPA advance payment requests and the first payment claims submitted by the end of 2017. Likewise, until the end of the year, there was no concrete progress on this critical issue. For some time, it was impossible to perceive the responsible person and team roles, the kind of flows needed (with exact information and codes) and the time plan to implement the changes. A meeting organized in Madrid between the CA and JS in November, immediately followed by a conference call between Madrid and Paris (Synergie CTE), brought new inputs to relaunch the whole process and making it possible to restart the testing phase. As a result, first payments should be made during the 2018 1st quarter. In this sense, it was crucial to find the most appropriate way to comply with further developments since many projects are facing problems to implement the activities as foreseen due to the lack of cash flow. Moreover, in April 2018 approximately a dozen projects will reach the official ending of their project cycle. With the main objectives of facilitating and ensuring the development of the necessary connections between the two tools, all involved parts (MA/JS, CA, Synergie CTE developers, Coopera2020 developers) agreed together to a calendar for the integration of Synergie CTE and Coopera2020. The document was submitted to the AA before the submission of the first payment claim to the EC in Dec, and it is going to be updated regularly according to the progress made. Once this development phase would be completed, the MCS D would be updated accordingly. The work already started in 2017, as well as the commitment of all key players for the year 2018, allow us to be optimistic that the work will be completed before the closure of the annual accounts at the end of 2018/beg. of 2019. Another completely different external obstacle for a good implementation of the Programme were the difficulties found by some participating States to set in place an efficient FLC validation system, taking into account that for this programming period National Authorities of decentralized control systems should validate the access to FLC using Synergie CTE. After the approval of the first projects, countries with a decentralized control system received an important number of FLC approval requests, delays to approve them were quite extensive. It is expected this problem to be solved in the future, since a lesser number of partners will be selected at the same time. In fact, no other such large calls are planned any longer (in 2017, FLCs for approximately 600 partners were approved through the Synergie CTE registration). Meanwhile, in view of such IT technical difficulties, the Interreg MED JS opened a new

staff member internal position to recruit an IT expert. As a result, in the beginning of 2018, an IT officer has been recruited, for at least one year. His contribution will be essential to better manage the different IT issues and overcome all the critical problems experienced before. As it was already the case in 2015 and 2016, three other former JS members left the team in 2017. In addition, the JS full structure was reorganized in all its hierarchical levels after the departure of the former Coordinator. Actually, the 2017 2nd semester was a period of changes in staff and processes within the JS team, which needed time to reset the right new internal balance, prepare for the arrival of new staff members and at the same time ensuring the burden of all administrative regular duties, with its own difficulties as mentioned before. Different staff positions were published by the end of the year and the JS team should be again in its full capacity before the 2018 summer break. In conclusion, the frequent turnover of the transnational staff creates a regular deficit of human resources within the JS, as the recruiting delays following the transposition of MA and programme procedures are still lengthy, combined with the availability of international personnel: a 7 months average. Even if the MA has covered staff gaps with temporary personnel, the limited availability of human resources was a reason of risk for delaying the programme implementation. Nevertheless, the MED programme is quite in advance in terms of funds programming. Even if it was not possible to select operations in 2017, except PANORAMED in Axis 4, as already mentioned, the programme was able to manage two different calls in parallel. Obviously, it was a hard task for all MED actors (MS, MA and JS), especially in the context of less experienced human resources. Appraisal processes started simultaneously for the eligibility check of both calls but not for the assessment: priority was given to the Integrated projects in order to validate a short list of pre applications to have access to the second phase of candidacy before the summer break. In June, 14 pre applications (out of 34 eligible ones) were admitted to the second step for the integrated full application. The full application period opened between the beginning of July and the end of Oct. Once the pre assessment of the Integrated projects closed, the JS started the assessment of the 76 eligible single-modular projects. That phase finished by the end of Sept, with 30 projects admitted to the second step assessment. The final assessment for both calls, single-modular and Integrated projects, took place between Oct and Dec 2017 (at the same time that the submission and check of the projects first payment claims). It led to a JS proposal of 21 projects selected for approval (13 single-modular and 8 Integrated) officially selected in Jan 2018.

(b) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, otherwise it will be included in point 9.1. An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.

As anticipated in the introduction to this report, 2017 does not bring any changes or contributions to the performance framework forecasts for the values expected at the end of 2018.

Indeed, the delayed programming and starting-up phase of our projects meant for most of the year that the report was mainly devoted to the administrative organisation of operations for their launch.

The 1st progress report received in October does not allow a trend assessment of the output indicators because the actual implementation of the projects has generally started only since September 2017. This period will therefore integrate the second progress report to be submitted by the projects in April 2018.

Nevertheless, the monitoring exercise carried out by the Joint Secretariat on the projects:

- 1) through the participation in the Steering Committees,
- 2) a constant dialogue with the Horizontal projects in charge of the thematic communities;
- 3) the uploading of deliverables on the Programme's web platform;
- 4) the verification of the performance of each project at the time of the transition from one module to another (for multi-modular projects);

suggests that the progress of operations is constantly moving forward.

In 2018, the Programme will be dedicated to the official study of the performance framework indicators by using not only its own resources and tools but also by leaning on the support of the external tender in charge of the operational evaluation.

From the first analysis of projects approved in 2016, some Programme targets should probably be met and even more than forecasted, while a few might not be met as expected.

More specifically, we can observe that:

- The production of instruments, tools, models and strategies are likely overestimated by the projects. This is mainly due to the Application Form

structure and the Programme intervention logic, where each output needed to be linked to an output indicator. The Programme will ensure that a validation will occur twice a year, during the progress reports verification, and is deemed to provide more accurate figures.

- Regarding the number of regions or sub-regions / areas or target groups engaged, there's also the possibility of an underestimation. This gap will be filled in with the horizontal projects assistance, whom do not contribute directly to the output indicators, except those related to political commitment and target groups reached. Their forecast was not included in table 2 due to their indirect contribution characteristics, but during implementation, the Programme monitoring tools and procedures will allow to identify their direct contribution to such indicators.

The actions taken or planned in order to balance this 1st estimation with expected realizations are:

- A Call for proposals was prepared in late 2016 and launched in 2017, restricted to Module 2 (Testing and transferring) and Module 3 (Capitalising) projects, as well as to Integrated projects (Modules 1, 2, 3).

New projects were selected in January 2018.

- Launch of targeted call(s) by 2018.

A specific Monitoring Committee will be held in April 2018.

- Enhanced monitoring and follow-up of approved projects with initiatives targeted to the involvement of final beneficiaries and the engagement of public authorities.

A new call for Horizontal projects, including task modifications and new goals for a better transferability and awareness, will be discussed as well during the next Monitoring Committee in April 2018.

6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

A citizen's summary of the contents of the annual and the final implementation reports shall be made public and uploaded as a separate file in the form of annex to the annual and the final implementation report

You can upload/find the Citizen's summary under General > Documents

**7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS
(ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)**

8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

8.1. Major projects

Table 7: Major projects

Project	CCI	Status of MP	Total investments	Total eligible costs	Planned notification/submission date	Date of tacit agreement/ approval by Commission	Planned start of implementation	Planned completion date	Priority Axis/ Investment priorities	Current state of realisation — financial progress (% of expenditure certified to Commission compared to total eligible cost)	Current state of realisation — physical progress Main implementation stage of the project	Main outputs	Date of signature of first works contract (1)	Observations
---------	-----	--------------	-------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	---	--------------	---	--------------

(1) In the case of operations implemented under PPP structures the signing of the PPP contract between the public body and the private sector body (Article 102(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them

--

Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme

--

8.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

--

Table 8: Joint action plans (JAP)

Title of the JAP	CCI	Stage of implementation of JAP	Total eligible costs	Total public support	OP contribution to JAP	Priority axis	Type of JAP	[Planned] submission to the Commission	[Planned] start of implementation	[Planned] completion	Main outputs and results	Total eligible expenditure certified to the Commission	Observations
------------------	-----	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------------------------	---------------	-------------	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--	--------------

Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them

--

9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axis	1 - Promoting Mediterranean innovation capacities to develop smart and sustainable growth
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	2 - Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and rural areas
---------------	--

--

Priority axis	3 - Protecting and promoting Mediterranean natural and cultural resources
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	4 - Enhancing Mediterranean Governance
---------------	--

--

Priority axis	5 - Technical Assistance
---------------	--------------------------

--

9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of specific actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on promotion of equality between men and women and non-discrimination, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, including accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations

--

9.3.Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on sustainable development, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of the actions taken to promote sustainable development in accordance with that Article

--

9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Calculated amount of support to be used for climate change objectives based on the cumulative financial data by category of intervention in Table 7

Priority axis	Amount of support to be used for climate change objectives (EUR)	Proportion of total allocation to the operational programme (%)
1	3,968,371.63	5.33%
2	32,556,993.08	69.66%
3	9,385,250.52	11.81%
Total	45,910,615.23	19.65%

--

9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the role of partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, including involvement of the partners in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the cooperation programme

--

10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013

10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of evaluations

--

10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy

--

11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

11.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the cooperation programme

--

11.2 Progress in implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of authorities and beneficiaries to administer and to use the ERDF

--

11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

The Interreg MED is not aligned geographically with a macro-regional strategy, but is concerned by several strategies. First of all the EUSAIR which is almost completely under the MED eligible area. To some extent also our eligible area is covering the Alpine and Danube macro-regional strategies. MED recognizes the EUSAIR strategy by identifying in the application forms whether the activities proposed by our projects correspond and contribute to its objectives. The same question is asked for the Alpine strategy. Furthermore, exchange of data is proposed between the JS of MED and ADRION, to compare selected projects and to identify those who can create synergies. Each programme will encourage identified projects to come together in order to enhance their impact. However, at this stage and for the projects already selected in the 1st call, their relevancy to macro-regional strategies is indirect and general. As already mentioned, in 2017 the Programme selected no new projects. Nevertheless, some projects have implemented activities able to add some inputs to the EUSAIR macroregion (MED projects are definitely not involved in Alpine and Danube objectives) like **CO-EVOLVE**. CO-EVOLVE aims at analysing and promoting the co-evolution of human activities and natural systems in touristic coastal areas, facing effects due to climate change and allowing sustainable development of touristic activities, in coexistence and synergy with other uses of the coastal and marine space and resources, based on the principles of ICZM/MSP. During 2017, the project has developed a set of studies and tools to address priorities for the sustainable development of coastal & maritime tourism and support the practical implementation of sustainable solutions for tourism development. Main outputs delivered are an analysis of Threats and Enabling Factors at MED scale and a Tourism sustainability analysis and toolkit. The activities and outputs of this project are totally in line with the 4th Pillar of EUSAIR regarding sustainable tourism. The Interreg MED has opted as well for the thematic objective 11, governance. After indicatively 2 years of preparation, in the course of 2017 the programme Monitoring Committee unanimously approved the governance platform project, called **PANORAMED**, as foreseen by the Axis 4 – Enhancing Mediterranean governance. PANORAMED partnership, composed of ministerial and regional authorities, is complemented by a peculiar and wide scheme of associated partners that will support and initiate synergies with the initiative. Together with Union for the Mediterranean, United Nations Environmental Programme/Mediterranean Action Plan, BLUEMED Initiative, the Conference for Peripheral Maritime Regions (CPMR), ENI CBC MED programme, INTERREG ADRION, INTERREG BALKAN MED, INTERREG EUROPE and INTERACT, the EUSAIR facility point is part of PANORAMED associated partners scheme. In 2017, a series of actions have been planned and shared with the EUSAIR facility point. Namely a) the participation to ad hoc meetings to identify concrete ways to exchange information to easily plan and act potential mutual benefit; b) the participation to PANORAMED thematic working groups on coastal and maritime tourism and maritime surveillance for the definition of the terms of reference of strategic projects that can contribute, potentially, also to EUSAIR framework of actions and c) the co-organisation of specific events. These actions will be developed and implemented in 2018.

- EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR)
- EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
- Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

Pillar(s), topic(s) and/or cross cutting issue(s) that the programme is relevant to:

	Pillar	Topic / Cross cutting issue
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.1.1 - Blue technologies
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.1.2 - Fisheries and aquaculture
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.1.3 - Maritime and marine governance and services
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.2.2 - SMEs development
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.2.3 - Capacity building
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.1.1 - Maritime transport
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.1.2 - Intermodal connections to the hinterland
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.1.3 - Energy networks
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.2.2 - SMEs development
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.2.3 - Capacity building
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.1.1 - The marine environment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.1.2 - Transnational terrestrial habitats and biodiversity
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.2.2 - SMEs development
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.2.3 - Capacity building
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.1.1 - Diversified tourism offer (products and services)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.1.2 - Sustainable and responsible tourism management (innovation and quality)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.2.2 - SMEs development
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.2.3 - Capacity building

Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the EUSAIR

A. Are macro-regional coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Pillar Coordinators, or Thematic Steering Group members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme?

Yes No

B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the EUSAIR?

Yes No

C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the EUSAIR?

Yes No

Does your programme plan to invest in the EUSAIR in the future? Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

Non, pas directement.

D. Obtained results in relation to the EUSAIR (n.a. for 2016)

Comme mentionné dans le texte, certaines opérations ont mis en oeuvre des outils qui pourront être exploités aussi dans le cadre de la stratégie EUSAIR.

E. Does the programme contribute to the objectives and/or targets which are attached to each topic under the Pillars, as stated in the Action Plan? (please specify the objective(s) and target(s))

L'espace de coopération Interreg MED est plus large que l'espace EUSAIR. Le programme peut financer des projets qui sont en cohérence avec les piliers thématiques mais n'a pas un cadre spécifique pour les soutiens des objectifs d'EUSAIR.

11.4 Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation

--

13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH

Information and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

--

14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

--

Documents

Document title	Document type	Document date	Local reference	Commission reference	Files	Sent date	Sent By
Citizens' summary 2017	Citizens' summary	29-May-2018			Citizens' summary 2017		
National activities 2017	Citizens' summary	29-May-2018			National activities 2017		

Latest validation results

Severity	Code	Message
Info		Implementation report version has been validated
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, specific objective: 1.1, indicator: 1.1.1, year: 2017 (null < 50.00). Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 100.93% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1.b, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 100.93% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1.b, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 109.09% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1.c, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 109.09% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1.c, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 110.83% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11c, indicator: 4.1.a, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 116.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6d, indicator: 3.2.c, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 116.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6d, indicator: 3.2.c, year: 2017. Please check.

Severity	Code	Message
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 120.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4e, indicator: 2.2.a, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 120.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4e, indicator: 2.2.a, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 120.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4e, indicator: 2.2.d, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 120.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4e, indicator: 2.2.d, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 121.25% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4e, indicator: 2.2.c, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 121.25% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4e, indicator: 2.2.c, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 130.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 5, investment priority: -, indicator: 5l, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 160.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: 1.1.d, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 160.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: 1.1.d, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 176.47% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1.a,

Severity	Code	Message
		year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 176.47% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6c, indicator: 3.1.a, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 200.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4e, indicator: 2.3.a, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 200.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4e, indicator: 2.3.a, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 203.87% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6d, indicator: 3.2.b, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 203.87% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 6d, indicator: 3.2.b, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 209.09% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: 1.1.a, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 209.09% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: 1.1.a, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 220.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4c, indicator: 2.1.b, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 220.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4c, indicator: 2.1.b, year: 2017. Please check.

Severity	Code	Message
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 280.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4c, indicator: 2.1.a, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 280.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 4c, indicator: 2.1.a, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.53.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 130.00% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: 5, investment priority: -, indicator: 5l, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.54.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is % of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: 5, investment priority: -, indicator: 5b, year: 2015. Please check.